

Letters to the Editor

Recent eLetters to the Editor are available at radiology.rsnajnl.org. eLetters that are no longer posted under "Recent eLetters" can be found as a link in the related article or by browsing through past Tables of Contents.

Can the Extent of Low-Attenuation Areas on CT Scans Really Demonstrate Changes in the Severity of Emphysema?

From

Berend C. Stoel, PhD,* David G. Parr, MRCP,[†] Els M. Bakker, PhD,* Hein Putter, PhD,* and Jan Stolk, MD[§]

Division of Image Processing,

Department of Radiology,*

Department of Medical Statistics,*

Department of Pulmonology,[§] Leiden University Medical Center, Leiden, the Netherlands,

e-mail: b.c.stoel@lumc.nl

Department of Respiratory Medicine, University Hospitals of Coventry and Warwickshire, Coventry, England[†]

Editor:

In the September 2007 issue of *Radiology*, H. A. Gietema and colleagues (1) studied the reproducibility of computed tomographic (CT) lung densitometry in monitoring emphysema. They used Bland-Altman analysis to determine the limits of agreement from repeated CT scans and reported that the relative area (RA) of low attenuation varies the least at a threshold of -950 HU, concluding that this method and threshold should be used.

However, Bland-Altman analysis can be used only if the differences follow a normal distribution and if the variation in the differences is unassociated with the size of the measurement. Unfortunately, neither of these requirements was met in this study, which resulted in wrong conclusions. Figure 3 of the article shows that most differences in RA are close to zero, which results in a non-normal, peaked distribution. At -950 HU, the majority of the 157 samples have been projected to the origin. Furthermore, repeatability was dependent on the mean value, since smaller variations were found at lower RA values.

This should have triggered the authors to have a closer look at their data.

A known drawback of RA is the cutoff effect at 0%, as a patient simply cannot have a CT scan with an RA of less than 0%. Hence, this cutoff effect is dependent on the threshold used and on the severity of emphysema in the patient group. Dr Gietema and colleagues conclude that with a threshold of -950 HU, the smallest real increase (1.1%) is all that is needed. However, the use of the lowest threshold of -950 HU produces many data truncated at 0%. And because the same value of 0% is reliably reproduced at follow-up, the sensitivity to changes in emphysema is diminished to zero. This is unlikely to represent a true reflection of the increase in the extent of emphysema.

In conclusion, the data presented by Dr Gietema and colleagues actually show that RA is not a suitable outcome parameter for monitoring the progression of emphysema. The alternative method (the percentile point) does not have this profound cutoff problem and is therefore already recommended in an international workshop on lung densitometry (2).

References

1. Gietema HA, Schilham AM, van Ginneken B, van Klaveren RJ, Lammers JW, Prokop M. Monitoring of smoking-induced emphysema with CT in a lung cancer screening setting: detection of real increase in extent of emphysema. *Radiology* 2007;244(3):890-897.
2. Newell JD Jr, Hogg JC, Snider GL. Report of a workshop: quantitative computed tomography scanning in longitudinal studies of emphysema. *Eur Respir J* 2004;23(5):769-775.

Response

From

Hester A. Gietema, MD, PhD,* Arnold M. Schilham, PhD,* Bram van Ginneken, PhD,* Rob J. van

Klaveren, MD, PhD,[§] Jan Willem J. Lammers, MD, PhD,[†] and Mathias Prokop, MD, PhD^{*}

Departments of Radiology^{*} and Pulmonology[†] and Image Sciences Institute,[‡] University Medical Center, Heidelberglaan 100, 3584 CX Utrecht, the Netherlands
e-mail: h.gietema@umcutrecht.nl

Department of Pulmonology, Erasmus Medical Center, Rotterdam, the Netherlands[§]

We thank Dr Stoel and colleagues for their comments because they highlight an ongoing discussion about how to best detect and quantify emphysema progression.

The Bland-Altman method is a frequently used technique for analyzing the dependence of measurement variability on the size of measured values. In itself the Bland-Altman method is independent of the distribution of differences (1). Because the differences need to be normally distributed in order to be able to calculate 95% confidence intervals using the linear approach we chose, we had checked our data and found that the distribution of differences did not deviate significantly from a normal distribution. We are grateful that Dr Stoel and colleagues pointed out that we did not fully account for the truncation effect at 0% in our calculations. To minimize this truncation effect we should have excluded low scores (at least smaller than the upper limit of agreement) to obtain sufficiently precise estimates of the 95% confidence intervals. When we exclude such low emphysema scores, the upper limits of agreement change from 13% to 16.6% for -910 HU, from 4.5% to 6.0% for -930 HU, and from 1.2% to 1.9% for -950 HU. The suggested closer look at the data therefore did result in just a moderately higher change in the emphysema score (ES) before emphysema progression can be stated with 95% probability.

We do not agree with the other arguments of Dr Stoel and colleagues: As figure 3c in our study shows (2), an ES of 0% is usually not exactly reproduced at repeat CT (the x-axis represents the mean of the two measurements). In fact, an ES of 0% was found on both CT scans in only five (3%) of 157 cases for the -950 HU threshold, but there were 24 subjects with an ES of 0% in only one measurement. Consequently, the statement that “the sensitivity to changes in emphysema is diminished to zero” is incorrect, as is the conclusion that the ES (called the “RA” by Dr Stoel and colleagues) “is not a suitable outcome parameter for monitoring the progression of emphysema.” Moreover, Madani et al (3) recently showed that the first percentile correlated best with histologic findings when using the percentile technique. One can speculate that such a low percentile might even be less sensitive to detect small changes in emphysema. The data are not yet out to decide which technique is best suited to detect an early increase in emphysema on a per-patient basis.

References

1. Bland JM, Altman DG. Statistical methods for assessing agreement between two methods of clinical measurement. *Lancet* 1986;1(8476):307-310.
2. Gietema HA, Schilham AM, van Ginneken B, van Klaveren RJ, Lammers JW, Prokop M. Monitoring of smoking-induced emphysema with CT in a lung cancer screening setting: detection of real increase in extent of emphysema. *Radiology* 2007;244(3):890-897.
3. Madani A, de Maertelaer V, Zanen J, Gevenois PA. Pulmonary emphysema: radiation dose and section thickness at multidetector CT quantification—comparison with macroscopic and microscopic morphometry. *Radiology* 2007;243(1):250-257.

Radiology 2008

This is your reprint order form or pro forma invoice

(Please keep a copy of this document for your records.)

Reprint order forms and purchase orders or prepayments must be received 72 hours after receipt of form either by mail or by fax at 410-820-9765. It is the policy of Cadmus Reprints to issue one invoice per order.

Please print clearly.

Author Name _____
Title of Article _____
Issue of Journal _____ Reprint # _____ Publication Date _____
Number of Pages _____ KB # _____ Symbol Radiology
Color in Article? Yes / No (Please Circle)

Please include the journal name and reprint number or manuscript number on your purchase order or other correspondence.

Order and Shipping Information

Reprint Costs (Please see page 2 of 2 for reprint costs/fees.)

_____ Number of reprints ordered \$ _____
_____ Number of color reprints ordered \$ _____
_____ Number of covers ordered \$ _____
Subtotal \$ _____
Taxes \$ _____

(Add appropriate sales tax for Virginia, Maryland, Pennsylvania, and the District of Columbia or Canadian GST to the reprints if your order is to be shipped to these locations.)

First address included, add \$32 for
each additional shipping address \$ _____

TOTAL \$ _____

Shipping Address (cannot ship to a P.O. Box) Please Print Clearly

Name _____
Institution _____
Street _____
City _____ State _____ Zip _____
Country _____
Quantity _____ Fax _____
Phone: Day _____ Evening _____
E-mail Address _____

Additional Shipping Address* (cannot ship to a P.O. Box)

Name _____
Institution _____
Street _____
City _____ State _____ Zip _____
Country _____
Quantity _____ Fax _____
Phone: Day _____ Evening _____
E-mail Address _____

* Add \$32 for each additional shipping address

Payment and Credit Card Details

Enclosed: Personal Check _____
Credit Card Payment Details _____
Checks must be paid in U.S. dollars and drawn on a U.S. Bank.
Credit Card: VISA Am. Exp. MasterCard
Card Number _____
Expiration Date _____
Signature: _____

Please send your order form and prepayment made payable to:

Cadmus Reprints
P.O. Box 751903
Charlotte, NC 28275-1903

Note: Do not send express packages to this location, PO Box.
FEIN #:541274108

Signature _____ Date _____

Signature is required. By signing this form, the author agrees to accept the responsibility for the payment of reprints and/or all charges described in this document.

Invoice or Credit Card Information

Invoice Address Please Print Clearly

Please complete Invoice address as it appears on credit card statement

Name _____
Institution _____
Department _____
Street _____
City _____ State _____ Zip _____
Country _____
Phone _____ Fax _____
E-mail Address _____

**Cadmus will process credit cards and Cadmus Journal
Services will appear on the credit card statement.**

*If you don't mail your order form, you may fax it to 410-820-9765 with
your credit card information.*

Radiology 2008

Black and White Reprint Prices

Domestic (USA only)						
# of Pages	50	100	200	300	400	500
1-4	\$221	\$233	\$268	\$285	\$303	\$323
5-8	\$355	\$382	\$432	\$466	\$510	\$544
9-12	\$466	\$513	\$595	\$652	\$714	\$775
13-16	\$576	\$640	\$749	\$830	\$912	\$995
17-20	\$694	\$775	\$906	\$1,017	\$1,117	\$1,220
21-24	\$809	\$906	\$1,071	\$1,200	\$1,321	\$1,471
25-28	\$928	\$1,041	\$1,242	\$1,390	\$1,544	\$1,688
29-32	\$1,042	\$1,178	\$1,403	\$1,568	\$1,751	\$1,924
Covers	\$97	\$118	\$215	\$323	\$442	\$555

Color Reprint Prices

Domestic (USA only)						
# of Pages	50	100	200	300	400	500
1-4	\$223	\$239	\$352	\$473	\$597	\$719
5-8	\$349	\$401	\$601	\$849	\$1,099	\$1,349
9-12	\$486	\$517	\$852	\$1,232	\$1,609	\$1,992
13-16	\$615	\$651	\$1,105	\$1,609	\$2,117	\$2,624
17-20	\$759	\$787	\$1,357	\$1,997	\$2,626	\$3,260
21-24	\$897	\$924	\$1,611	\$2,376	\$3,135	\$3,905
25-28	\$1,033	\$1,071	\$1,873	\$2,757	\$3,650	\$4,536
29-32	\$1,175	\$1,208	\$2,122	\$3,138	\$4,162	\$5,180
Covers	\$97	\$118	\$215	\$323	\$442	\$555

International (includes Canada and Mexico)						
# of Pages	50	100	200	300	400	500
1-4	\$272	\$283	\$340	\$397	\$446	\$506
5-8	\$428	\$455	\$576	\$675	\$784	\$884
9-12	\$580	\$626	\$805	\$964	\$1,115	\$1,278
13-16	\$724	\$786	\$1,023	\$1,232	\$1,445	\$1,652
17-20	\$878	\$958	\$1,246	\$1,520	\$1,774	\$2,030
21-24	\$1,022	\$1,119	\$1,474	\$1,795	\$2,108	\$2,426
25-28	\$1,176	\$1,291	\$1,700	\$2,070	\$2,450	\$2,813
29-32	\$1,316	\$1,452	\$1,936	\$2,355	\$2,784	\$3,209
Covers	\$156	\$176	\$335	\$525	\$716	\$905

International (includes Canada and Mexico))						
# of Pages	50	100	200	300	400	500
1-4	\$278	\$290	\$424	\$586	\$741	\$904
5-8	\$429	\$472	\$746	\$1,058	\$1,374	\$1,690
9-12	\$604	\$629	\$1,061	\$1,545	\$2,011	\$2,494
13-16	\$766	\$797	\$1,378	\$2,013	\$2,647	\$3,280
17-20	\$945	\$972	\$1,698	\$2,499	\$3,282	\$4,069
21-24	\$1,110	\$1,139	\$2,015	\$2,970	\$3,921	\$4,873
25-28	\$1,290	\$1,321	\$2,333	\$3,437	\$4,556	\$5,661
29-32	\$1,455	\$1,482	\$2,652	\$3,924	\$5,193	\$6,462
Covers	\$156	\$176	\$335	\$525	\$716	\$905

Minimum order is 50 copies. For orders larger than 500 copies, please consult Cadmus Reprints at 800-407-9190.

Reprint Cover

Cover prices are listed above. The cover will include the publication title, article title, and author name in black.

Shipping

Shipping costs are included in the reprint prices. Domestic orders are shipped via UPS Ground service. Foreign orders are shipped via a proof of delivery air service.

Multiple Shipments

Orders can be shipped to more than one location. Please be aware that it will cost \$32 for each additional location.

Delivery

Your order will be shipped within 2 weeks of the journal print date. Allow extra time for delivery.

Tax Due

Residents of Virginia, Maryland, Pennsylvania, and the District of Columbia are required to add the appropriate sales tax to each reprint order. For orders shipped to Canada, please add 7% Canadian GST unless exemption is claimed.

Ordering

Reprint order forms and purchase order or prepayment is required to process your order. Please reference journal name and reprint number or manuscript number on any correspondence. You may use the reverse side of this form as a proforma invoice. Please return your order form and prepayment to:

Cadmus Reprints
P.O. Box 751903
Charlotte, NC 28275-1903

Note: Do not send express packages to this location, PO Box. FEIN #: 541274108

Please direct all inquiries to:

Rose A. Baynard
800-407-9190 (toll free number)
410-819-3966 (direct number)
410-820-9765 (FAX number)
baynardr@cadmus.com (e-mail)

Reprint Order Forms and purchase order or prepayments must be received 72 hours after receipt of form.